

## THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE ROLE OF COMMUNITY GUIDANCE IN CONDITIONAL RELEASE AT PRABUMULIH CORRECTIONAL CENTER (A STUDY OF PRABUMULIH CLASS IIB DETENTION CENTER)



**<sup>1</sup>Lusi Hertina, <sup>2</sup>Abdul Latif Mahfuz, <sup>3</sup>Syamsul**

*<sup>1,2,3</sup>Master Program in Law, Muhammadiyah University of Palembang - Indonesia*

### **e-mail:**

<sup>1</sup>lusiherlina3695@gmail.com (*corresponding author*)

<sup>2</sup>abdullatif32@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>syamsul89@gmail.com

### **ABSTRACT**

*This study analyzes the effectiveness of Community Counselors in implementing conditional release at the Prabumulih Community Guidance Center (Pos Balai Pemasarakatan Class IIB), with a focus on factors influencing the reintegration of clients into society. Using an empirical legal approach with a sociological perspective, the research employs qualitative methods, including interviews, observations, and document analysis involving counselors, clients, and related officials. The findings show that Community Counselors play a crucial role in supervising, guiding, and supporting individuals undergoing conditional release. They act as facilitators of reintegration by providing direction, monitoring behavior, and assisting clients in adapting to social life. However, the effectiveness of their role is constrained by several challenges. These include limited human resources, high counselor-to-client ratios, inadequate infrastructure, and persistent social stigma toward former inmates. These conditions reduce the ability of counselors to provide personalized guidance and optimal supervision, which in turn affects the success of the reintegration process. In conclusion, strengthening the role of Community Counselors is essential to improving conditional release outcomes. This can be achieved by increasing the number of counselors, enhancing institutional resources, improving coordination between agencies, and addressing societal stigma to support successful reintegration and reduce recidivism.*

**Keywords:** *Conditional Release; Community Counselors; Social Reintegration*

## INTRODUCTION

The effectiveness of community guidance in supporting the reintegration of individuals under conditional release plays a crucial role in the broader framework of the Indonesian correctional system. The objective of conditional release is to provide inmates with an opportunity to complete the remainder of their sentence outside the prison, under supervision, as part of their reintegration into society. Central to this process is the role of Community Counselors (Pembimbing Kemasyarakatan), who oversee the behavioral progress of released individuals, ensuring they comply with the conditions of their release while also offering the necessary support to aid in their adjustment to life outside prison.

However, despite the importance of this role, many challenges hinder the effectiveness of community guidance programs in Indonesia. These challenges include limited resources, an insufficient number of Community Counselors, inadequate infrastructure, and the complex nature of reintegrating individuals into a society often skeptical of former offenders. The gap between the ideal conditions for community guidance and the current realities faced by Pos Balai Pemasyarakatan centers like Prabumulih reveals significant barriers that need to be addressed for the process to be more successful. Community Counselors are responsible for multiple tasks, including conducting social assessments, guiding, and supervising clients. Despite the centrality of their role, they often struggle to effectively monitor clients due to overburdened caseloads, inadequate training, and lack of support from local agencies and community networks. These limitations result in insufficient personalized support, which undermines the goal of successful reintegration and increases the risk of recidivism.

Another major issue is the social stigma faced by individuals undergoing conditional release. Released prisoners often encounter community resistance, making it difficult for them to secure employment, housing, and social acceptance. This stigma compounds the difficulty of reintegration, and Community Counselors are left with the challenge of not only overseeing compliance but also helping to change societal attitudes toward ex-offenders.

This article aims to explore the current state of community guidance at the Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasyarakatan and analyze the factors affecting its effectiveness. It will provide recommendations for improving community guidance through resource allocation, capacity building, inter-agency collaboration, and public engagement. By addressing these challenges, the article seeks to highlight actionable steps toward improving the social reintegration of ex-prisoners, ultimately reducing recidivism rates and enhancing the effectiveness of Indonesia's conditional release programs.

## LITERATURE REVIEW AND RESEARCH FRAMEWORK

The role of Community Counselors in the context of conditional release has been a subject of significant academic interest, particularly in relation to social reintegration and recidivism prevention. Conditional release, also known as parole in many countries, is a pivotal step in reintegrating incarcerated individuals back into society. Research suggests that community-based supervision is crucial for successful reintegration, as it provides both monitoring and support to individuals adjusting to life outside prison (Bourgon & Armstrong, 2005). Community Counselors, who oversee the reintegration of parolees, are central to this process by ensuring compliance with the conditions of release while also providing psychological and social support.

A significant body of literature has explored the effectiveness of community guidance in reducing recidivism. According to Andrews and Bonta (2010), the success of rehabilitation programs is closely tied to the quality of supervision and case management.

They emphasize the importance of individualized treatment that addresses the specific needs of each parolee, such as employment, mental health, and family dynamics. Additionally, risk assessment tools have become crucial in guiding the counselor's approach to supervision, ensuring that the right level of intervention is applied based on the individual's likelihood of reoffending. However, challenges persist in the application of these theories in real-world settings. Studies such as Latessa et al. (2009) have pointed out that resource constraints, high caseloads, and lack of coordination among various agencies often undermine the effectiveness of community guidance. As a result, counselors may struggle to provide adequate monitoring or support, leading to poor outcomes in terms of successful reintegration and reduced recidivism.

Furthermore, the social stigma faced by ex-prisoners significantly complicates their reintegration. According to Goffman (1963), the stigmatization of individuals with criminal records often results in social exclusion, making it harder for them to secure employment, housing, and social acceptance. This societal barrier poses a direct challenge to the effectiveness of community counseling, as successful reintegration requires a cooperative effort between the individual, the counselor, and the community.

### **Research Framework**

The research framework for this study focuses on understanding the factors that influence the effectiveness of Community Counselors in facilitating the reintegration of individuals undergoing conditional release at the Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasarakatan. The framework is built on a combination of theoretical perspectives from the Theory of Social Reintegration, Risk-Need-Responsivity (RNR) model, and Restorative Justice.

#### **1. Theory of Social Reintegration**

The social reintegration theory posits that successful reintegration into society requires a multi-faceted approach, addressing both the individual's behavior and their relationship with the community. The supportive role of Community Counselors in providing personalized guidance and facilitating positive community interactions is central to this theory. The framework will explore how effective counseling can help parolees build social capital, such as stable relationships and employment opportunities, which are key to reducing recidivism.

#### **2. Risk-Need-Responsivity (RNR) Model**

The RNR model (Andrews & Bonta, 2010) serves as a guiding framework for assessing the needs and risks of clients. The model suggests that supervision should be tailored to the risk level of the individual and the specific needs they have, such as addiction, mental health, or family problems. The framework will examine how well the Prabumulih Pos Bapas adheres to the RNR principles in its approach to case management and supervision. It will assess how individualized treatment plans are developed and whether the counselors' interventions are appropriately matched to the risk and needs of their clients.

#### **3. Restorative Justice Approach**

Restorative justice emphasizes accountability, healing, and reconciliation between offenders, victims, and the community. This approach will be integrated into the framework to explore how Community Counselors act as mediators between parolees and the community. The research will analyze the role of counselors in promoting understanding and changing public perceptions of ex-prisoners, thereby fostering an environment that supports successful reintegration.

#### 4. External and Internal Factors

The framework will also examine both external factors (e.g., social stigma, public perceptions, community support) and internal factors (e.g., caseload, counselor training, resource availability) that impact the effectiveness of community guidance. These factors are critical in understanding why some community guidance programs succeed while others face significant challenges.

This research framework allows for a comprehensive evaluation of the Prabumulih Pos Bapas, analyzing both operational practices and the social dynamics that influence the effectiveness of community guidance. By investigating these aspects, the study will provide valuable insights into how conditional release programs can be improved to facilitate successful reintegration and reduce recidivism.

#### **METHOD**

This study utilizes an empirical legal research method with a sociological legal approach. Data is collected through qualitative techniques including interviews, observations, and document analysis. Interviews will be conducted with Community Counselors, clients under conditional release, and relevant officials at the Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasarakatan to gain insights into the challenges faced in community guidance. Document analysis will be used to review case records, reports, and institutional documents to understand the operational dynamics and outcomes of the guidance process.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

##### **Effectiveness of Community Counselors' Roles**

The role of Community Counselors (Pembimbing Kemasyarakatan) in the implementation of conditional release is a crucial element within the Indonesian correctional system. Community Counselors are responsible for ensuring that inmates granted conditional release comply with the stipulated requirements, assisting in their reintegration into society, and preventing recidivism. However, the effectiveness of their role has been a subject of concern, particularly in institutions such as the Prabumulih Community Guidance Center (Pos Balai Pemasarakatan Kelas IIB). While the core objective is to facilitate social reintegration, several factors have hindered the optimal performance of Community Counselors in their duties.

One of the primary challenges identified in the study of the Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasarakatan is the limited number of Community Counselors relative to the growing number of clients under conditional release. This imbalance creates an environment where counselors are overburdened with cases, thereby reducing the attention and quality of support each client receives. A high counselor-to-client ratio can diminish the counselors' ability to monitor the behavioral progress of individuals on conditional release effectively. Furthermore, it hampers the ability to tailor guidance to the specific needs of each client, which is crucial for fostering successful social reintegration.

The quality of guidance also suffers when the number of counselors is insufficient. Effective community guidance requires not only providing emotional and psychological support but also practical assistance, such as helping ex-prisoners find employment or reconnect with their families. However, the excessive workload results in a more generalized approach to counseling, making it difficult to address the individualized needs of each client. Consequently, clients may not receive the full benefits of the rehabilitative process, which can increase their chances of reoffending.

Another factor influencing the effectiveness of Community Counselors is the lack of adequate facilities and infrastructure. In the case of the Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasarakatan, limited resources such as office space, transportation, and administrative support negatively impact the efficiency of counselors in their work. Many counselors are unable to conduct regular visits to clients' homes or workplaces due to transportation issues, which are critical to assessing the clients' progress in real-life settings. Moreover, the absence of modern tools for digital case management and tracking further complicates the monitoring process. These infrastructural deficiencies prevent the counselors from performing their duties as effectively as needed, which can impede the reintegration of individuals into society.

In addition to resource limitations, the inadequate inter-agency coordination has also been cited as a barrier to the effective role of Community Counselors. Successful conditional release requires close cooperation between correctional facilities, law enforcement, local governments, and community organizations. However, at Prabumulih, there is limited collaboration with local police, social services, and rehabilitation centers, which restricts the support network available to clients. Without this coordination, counselors are often unable to access critical information regarding clients' behavior or to refer them to other support services that could enhance their rehabilitation. Furthermore, community acceptance remains a significant challenge. Many released individuals face social stigma due to their criminal past, and this hinders their reintegration. Community Counselors are tasked with mediating between clients and society, working to change public perceptions of ex-prisoners. However, due to the prevalent societal biases against those with criminal records, counselors often struggle to overcome these barriers. This social stigma can result in the isolation of clients, making it more difficult for them to find employment, housing, or social support. A lack of social acceptance directly impacts the success of conditional release programs, as reintegration depends not only on the individual's efforts but also on the community's willingness to embrace them.

To evaluate the success of conditional release programs, it is essential to assess both quantitative and qualitative indicators of progress. On one hand, a reduction in recidivism rates can be seen as a direct measure of the effectiveness of community counseling. On the other hand, qualitative aspects such as the emotional and social well-being of released individuals are just as important. Counselors must work to create a system where clients feel empowered to rebuild their lives, but this requires more than just basic supervision; it demands a supportive, personalized approach that is currently hindered by the aforementioned factors.

In conclusion, while Community Counselors play a pivotal role in ensuring the success of conditional release programs, several challenges limit their effectiveness in the Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasarakatan. Insufficient manpower, inadequate infrastructure, poor inter-agency coordination, and societal stigma all play significant roles in undermining the effectiveness of these programs. To enhance the effectiveness of Community Counselors, it is necessary to address these structural and resource-related issues. Strengthening the counseling team, improving coordination with other agencies, and fostering a more supportive societal environment will ultimately contribute to the success of conditional release programs and the social reintegration of former inmates.

### **Factors Affecting the Effectiveness of Guidance**

The effectiveness of Community Counselors (Pembimbing Kemasyarakatan) in managing the conditional release process at the Prabumulih Community Guidance Center (Pos Balai

Pemasyarakatan) is influenced by a variety of factors. These factors range from structural limitations within the organization to external social dynamics. Understanding these factors is crucial to improving the performance of Community Counselors and ensuring the successful reintegration of released prisoners into society. The key factors impacting the effectiveness of guidance can be categorized into organizational constraints, resource limitations, social and cultural dynamics, and external institutional support.

### 1. Organizational Constraints

One of the most significant challenges faced by Community Counselors is the limited human resources. The Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasyarakatan is tasked with supervising a growing number of clients who are undergoing conditional release, yet the number of counselors has remained relatively low. This high client-to-counselor ratio often results in counselors being overburdened, leading to less time and attention devoted to each client. In some cases, counselors must handle multiple cases simultaneously, which can dilute the quality of their guidance and supervision.

As a result, clients may not receive the level of support they need, which in turn affects their successful reintegration into society. A direct consequence of this organizational limitation is that counselors cannot conduct the necessary home visits and in-person assessments of clients' living situations. Effective counseling requires direct interaction with clients, their families, and their communities. Without sufficient time and resources, counselors cannot properly assess how clients are adjusting to life outside prison, making it more difficult to provide tailored guidance or intervene in any emerging issues.

Additionally, poor organizational structure within the Pos Bapas itself contributes to the inefficiency of the counseling process. For example, unclear role definitions or the lack of a standardized procedure for client follow-up can lead to inconsistent or ineffective supervision. Without well-established systems in place, it becomes challenging to track clients' progress, making it harder for counselors to assess their needs or respond promptly to issues that may arise.

### 2. Resource Limitations

Another critical factor affecting the effectiveness of guidance is the lack of adequate resources. At Pos Bapas Prabumulih, the infrastructure and facilities available to counselors are limited. The absence of modern technology and administrative support hinders the counselors' ability to efficiently track clients, share important information with other agencies, or access necessary legal and social resources. For instance, without digital tools for case management, counselors are forced to rely on outdated paper-based systems, which are time-consuming and prone to errors. This technological gap makes it difficult to maintain comprehensive, real-time records of clients' progress and the services they have received.

Moreover, transportation remains a major issue for Community Counselors. Due to limited access to transportation, counselors find it challenging to visit clients in their homes or meet them in their communities regularly. This lack of mobility restricts the effectiveness of personalized supervision, which is essential for understanding the context in which a client is living, their interactions with family and friends, and the progress they are making toward reintegration. Frequent visits to clients' homes or workplaces allow counselors to better assess the situation and provide more meaningful and targeted support.

### 3. Social and Cultural Dynamics

The social stigma associated with ex-prisoners represents one of the most significant barriers to the success of conditional release programs. Despite efforts by the legal system to facilitate the reintegration of prisoners, society often views former prisoners with suspicion and prejudice. This stigma can lead to their exclusion from mainstream society, making it difficult for them to find work, form stable relationships, or rebuild their lives. For many ex-prisoners, the negative perceptions they face upon release can trigger feelings of alienation and despair, which can ultimately affect their behavior and likelihood of recidivism.

Community Counselors are expected to act as mediators between ex-prisoners and society. They play an essential role in shifting public attitudes and helping communities understand the importance of social reintegration. However, the widespread public reluctance to accept former prisoners further complicates the counselors' efforts. This social resistance is especially prevalent in rural or conservative areas where societal values may not be as flexible regarding the acceptance of ex-convicts.

To effectively guide their clients, counselors must actively engage with the community, educate the public about the importance of reintegrating ex-prisoners, and reduce stigma. However, their ability to do this is often limited by the ingrained prejudices within society and the reluctance of local communities to accept individuals with a criminal history.

### 4. External Institutional Support

The level of institutional support provided by other relevant agencies and stakeholders is another critical factor affecting the effectiveness of guidance. Community Counselors cannot work in isolation; their success relies on effective collaboration with local governments, law enforcement, social services, and rehabilitation centers. The Prabumulih Pos Bapas has faced challenges in coordinating efforts with these institutions, which limits the resources available for counseling and rehabilitation.

For example, counselors may struggle to find adequate mental health services for clients who require psychological support or may need to refer clients to local employment programs to help them reintegrate. If these external services are not readily available or difficult to access, the counselor's job becomes more challenging, and clients may not receive the full spectrum of support they need. Furthermore, poor communication and coordination with law enforcement or local authorities can result in missed opportunities for effective supervision, increasing the risk of clients violating the conditions of their conditional release.

Effective inter-agency collaboration is particularly important in addressing the diverse needs of clients, such as mental health issues, substance abuse problems, and family-related challenges. If these institutions work together seamlessly, it creates a supportive network that benefits the client and helps prevent recidivism.

### 5. Client Characteristics

Finally, the individual characteristics of clients themselves such as their personal history, socioeconomic status, education, and the nature of the crime they committed affect the outcome of their conditional release. Clients who have experienced severe social exclusion, poverty, or lack of education are more likely to face challenges in their reintegration process. Counselors must consider these factors when creating individualized rehabilitation plans. The greater the complexity of a client's needs, the more challenging it becomes to ensure successful reintegration.

The diversity in client backgrounds also requires counselors to adopt a flexible approach. Counselors must be trained to handle a variety of cases, from those with minimal criminal records to those involved in more severe offenses. Each case requires a tailored approach, but limited resources and time may hinder the ability to do so.

In conclusion, the effectiveness of Community Counselors in guiding individuals through the conditional release process is influenced by a range of factors. Organizational constraints, such as insufficient counselors and inadequate resources, significantly limit the scope of guidance provided to clients. Social stigma and the lack of community acceptance also present substantial challenges to the reintegration of ex-prisoners. Moreover, the collaboration with other institutions and the personal characteristics of clients must be effectively managed to optimize the counseling process. Addressing these factors through enhanced resource allocation, better inter-agency coordination, and public education on the importance of reintegration can significantly improve the success of conditional release programs and contribute to the reduction of recidivism rates.

### **Community Guidance Models and Social Reintegration**

The process of social reintegration is a critical phase for individuals who have been granted conditional release from correctional facilities. Community guidance models play a pivotal role in this process by providing the necessary support and supervision to help released individuals transition back into society. These models aim to address the psychological, social, and economic challenges that individuals face after incarceration and work to reduce recidivism by preparing them for reintegration. The role of Pembimbing Masyarakat (Community Counselors) in implementing these models is crucial, as they act as facilitators, mentors, and intermediaries between the released individuals and society.

#### **1. Community Guidance Models**

Several community guidance models have been developed worldwide to support the social reintegration of individuals under conditional release. These models generally focus on providing psychosocial support, practical assistance, and supervision to help individuals rebuild their lives. The effectiveness of these models depends on a combination of human resources, institutional support, and community involvement.

Case management is one of the most widely used models in community guidance. This model involves the individualized supervision and support of each client based on their unique needs and circumstances. Community Counselors assess the specific challenges faced by their clients and create tailored rehabilitation plans that address issues such as employment, housing, mental health, and family relationships. The key principle of case management is that individualized attention increases the likelihood of successful reintegration.

Another model that has gained traction in various countries is the restorative justice model. This model emphasizes repairing the harm caused by the offense through mediation and dialogue between the offender, the victim, and the community. The goal is to foster understanding, reconciliation, and a sense of responsibility in the offender, allowing them to reintegrate into society with the support of their community. In this model, Community Counselors help facilitate the restorative process, guiding the offender to understand the impact of their actions and the steps needed to regain trust and acceptance from society.

In some settings, the mentoring model is also employed. In this approach, released individuals are paired with mentors often people who have gone through similar

experiences who provide guidance, emotional support, and practical advice. The mentor acts as a role model and offers the moral support that the released individual needs during the reintegration process. This model is particularly useful in helping individuals build new social connections and develop positive behaviors.

## 2. The Role of Community Counselors in Reintegration

Community Counselors play a fundamental role in the success of community guidance models. They are tasked with supervising clients, monitoring their progress, and providing counseling services to facilitate reintegration. In the context of conditional release, the role of the *Pembimbing Kemasyarakatan* is not just administrative but deeply involved in psychosocial support and problem-solving.

One of the key functions of Community Counselors is conducting social assessments (*litmas*), which help determine the readiness of the released individual for reintegration and the potential risks they may face. These assessments consider factors such as the individual's history, relationships, mental health, and prospects for employment. Based on the findings, counselors develop an individualized reintegration plan that addresses the unique needs and risks of each client. Community Counselors also serve as mediators between the released individual and their community. They facilitate communication between ex-prisoners and their families, employers, and local authorities to help rebuild trust and address any concerns. This is crucial, as many ex-prisoners face significant challenges in securing housing or employment due to the stigma associated with their criminal past. Counselors can leverage their networks to connect clients with community resources such as housing programs, job training, or rehabilitation services.

Moreover, Community Counselors are tasked with monitoring compliance with the conditions of conditional release. This involves regular check-ins with clients to ensure they are adhering to the rules set forth by the court, such as attending counseling sessions, refraining from drug or alcohol use, or reporting to the authorities. Counselors also identify potential issues that could jeopardize the individual's reintegration, such as relapse into criminal behavior, and intervene early to prevent setbacks.

## 3. Challenges in Community Guidance and Reintegration

Despite the potential of community guidance models, several challenges can hinder their effectiveness. One of the major obstacles is the insufficient resources available for Community Counselors to provide adequate support. In many regions, counselors are faced with a high caseload, often having to supervise a large number of clients. This workload limits the time and attention they can devote to each individual, affecting the quality of the guidance provided.

Another challenge is the lack of community involvement in the reintegration process. While the responsibility for reintegration is shared between the offender, the counselor, and society, the community often remains skeptical or hostile towards ex-prisoners. Stigma and negative perceptions of former inmates make it difficult for them to find employment or housing, which are critical elements for successful reintegration. In such environments, Community Counselors must not only provide support to clients but also work actively to change public attitudes towards ex-prisoners, helping society understand the importance of second chances and rehabilitation.

Furthermore, there are social and psychological barriers that can complicate reintegration. Many individuals who are released from prison face mental health issues, including depression, anxiety, and substance abuse, which can impede their ability to adapt to life outside. Community Counselors must be equipped to deal with these

psychological challenges, and in many cases, they require additional training and resources to address the complex needs of their clients.

#### 4. The Importance of Social Reintegration

The ultimate goal of any community guidance model is successful social reintegration, where the individual becomes a productive and law-abiding member of society. Social reintegration is not only about avoiding reoffending, but also about rehabilitating the individual so they can contribute to society in meaningful ways. This can be achieved through access to employment, education, and social support, as well as through programs that promote self-sufficiency and mental well-being.

For reintegration to be successful, it is essential that the person is treated holistically, addressing not just their criminal behavior but the underlying social and personal issues that may have contributed to their criminal activity. This requires a multi-disciplinary approach, involving collaboration between counselors, law enforcement, social workers, healthcare providers, and the local community. Effective community guidance models work by leveraging this network to support the individual and ensure they are provided with the resources they need to succeed.

In conclusion, community guidance models are integral to the social reintegration of individuals under conditional release. They provide a structured approach that ensures individuals receive the support they need to adjust to life outside of prison. The role of Community Counselors is central to this process, as they guide, supervise, and provide personalized support to help individuals reintegrate successfully. However, challenges such as resource limitations, community stigma, and the psychological needs of clients need to be addressed for these models to be truly effective. By strengthening the resources available to Community Counselors and fostering a more supportive environment for ex-prisoners, the chances of successful reintegration and reduced recidivism can be greatly improved.

#### **Comparative Analysis: Ideal vs Current Conditions**

In the realm of community guidance for individuals undergoing conditional release, the effectiveness of programs is often shaped by both ideal conditions and current realities. A thorough comparative analysis between these two aspects is essential in identifying the gaps and opportunities for improvement in the performance of Community Counselors (Pembimbing Kemasyarakatan) and the overall success of the reintegration process. The ideal conditions represent the standards that would ensure the most effective guidance and reintegration outcomes, whereas the current conditions highlight the practical limitations and challenges faced by Pos Balai Pemasyarakatan, particularly the Prabumulih Community Guidance Center. This comparative analysis delves into the differences between the two, focusing on key elements such as resource allocation, infrastructure, workforce, and collaboration.

##### 1. Human Resources and Counselor-to-Client Ratio

In the ideal model, the counselor-to-client ratio is balanced to ensure that each client receives adequate attention and support. The number of Community Counselors is sufficient to manage the caseload effectively, enabling counselors to offer personalized supervision, guidance, and follow-up. This would allow counselors to regularly interact with clients, visit them at their homes, assess their social environments, and ensure that clients comply with the conditions of their conditional release.

In this model, counselors are equipped to handle a smaller number of clients, ensuring that individualized support is consistently provided. Moreover, this approach supports better case management, with counselors being able to monitor the progress of each client closely and intervene promptly if any issues arise.

The reality at the Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasarakatan is that the number of counselors is significantly limited in comparison to the growing number of clients needing supervision. The high ratio of clients to counselors has created an environment where counselors are overburdened with too many cases. As a result, they often have limited time to provide personalized guidance and are unable to conduct regular visits or thorough assessments of clients' progress.

The workload often forces counselors to adopt a generalized approach, which compromises the depth of their engagement with each client. Due to this, many clients may not receive the tailored support they need for successful reintegration, resulting in lower rates of compliance with release conditions and increased risk of recidivism.

## 2. Infrastructure and Facilities

In an ideal setting, modern infrastructure supports the efficient operations of Pos Balai Pemasarakatan. This includes well-equipped offices, access to technology for case management, and resources such as transportation to facilitate home visits and community engagement. Technology, such as digital records and monitoring systems, would allow for real-time updates and easy tracking of clients' progress, improving the accountability of the entire process.

Furthermore, adequate funding and resources would ensure that counselors have the tools necessary to engage with clients effectively. These resources could include access to mental health services, rehabilitation programs, and community-based initiatives that further assist in the social reintegration of individuals. At the Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasarakatan, infrastructure and resources are not sufficient to support the effective functioning of community guidance programs. There is a lack of modern technology to efficiently track and monitor the progress of clients, and many counselors still rely on outdated paper-based systems. The absence of digital tools for case management increases the administrative burden, reduces efficiency, and heightens the risk of errors in the monitoring process.

Additionally, transportation issues make it difficult for counselors to visit clients in their homes or communities. This limitation severely impacts the ability to assess clients' living situations and provide the needed guidance. Without access to adequate facilities for conducting meetings or therapy sessions, the counseling process is constrained, and the quality of supervision suffers.

## 3. Inter-Agency Coordination and Community Engagement

In the ideal model, inter-agency coordination is seamless. Community Counselors work closely with various stakeholders, including law enforcement, social services, local government, and rehabilitation centers, to create a supportive environment for clients. Regular communication ensures that all parties involved are updated on the progress of clients, potential challenges, and available support systems.

Additionally, community engagement plays a vital role. In an ideal situation, the local community actively participates in the reintegration process, offering social acceptance and employment opportunities to ex-prisoners. This reduces the stigma often associated with having a criminal past and supports the successful transition of individuals back into society. At the Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasarakatan, inter-agency

coordination is limited. There is insufficient collaboration between the Pos Bapas and local authorities, such as the police and social welfare services. This lack of communication makes it difficult to share vital information about clients' progress or any challenges they may be facing. The absence of a coordinated support network means that clients do not have access to the full range of services needed for reintegration, such as mental health care or employment assistance.

Furthermore, community acceptance is a significant hurdle. In Prabumulih, as in many other areas, there is a prevalent social stigma against former prisoners. This makes it difficult for released individuals to secure employment or housing, as many people are unwilling to provide opportunities to ex-prisoners. The lack of community support for reintegration further complicates the process and increases the likelihood of clients returning to criminal behavior.

#### 4. Supervision and Monitoring

In an ideal environment, the supervision and monitoring of clients on conditional release are comprehensive and well-planned. Counselors regularly check in with clients, not only to ensure compliance with the terms of their release but also to offer support for any personal or social issues they may be experiencing. This close supervision helps identify problems early and prevents minor issues from escalating into major setbacks. The frequency and quality of monitoring would ensure that counselors can track the progress of each individual closely and adjust their support plans as necessary. Additionally, technology plays a critical role in ensuring that counselors can remain in contact with clients and quickly respond to any issues or concerns.

In contrast, the current supervision at Pos Bapas Prabumulih is sporadic and less effective due to the aforementioned challenges. The limited number of counselors and the heavy caseload result in less frequent check-ins and limited interaction with clients. The lack of consistent monitoring means that issues such as relapse into criminal behavior or non-compliance with release conditions may go unnoticed until they become serious problems.

The comparison between the ideal conditions and the current conditions at Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasarakatan highlights several significant gaps that hinder the effectiveness of community guidance and reintegration efforts. The counselor-to-client ratio, lack of resources, inadequate infrastructure, poor inter-agency coordination, and community stigma all contribute to the challenges faced by Community Counselors in providing effective supervision and support. To bridge these gaps, it is crucial that the government allocates more resources, improves infrastructure, and enhances coordination between various stakeholders involved in the reintegration process. Moreover, social acceptance must be fostered at the community level to create an environment that supports the successful reintegration of ex-prisoners. With the right support, the Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasarakatan can better fulfill its role in facilitating the successful reintegration of individuals under conditional release and reduce the risk of recidivism.

#### **Recommendations for Improving the Effectiveness of Community Guidance**

The role of Community Counselors (Pembimbing Kemasyarakatan) in overseeing the conditional release of inmates is pivotal in the broader goal of social reintegration. However, as highlighted in previous sections, there are several challenges that hinder the effectiveness of community guidance at the Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasarakatan (Community Guidance Center). These include issues related to resource limitations,

organizational constraints, insufficient inter-agency coordination, and social stigma. To enhance the effectiveness of community guidance and improve outcomes for individuals undergoing conditional release, several strategic recommendations can be implemented. These recommendations focus on resource enhancement, capacity building, inter-agency cooperation, and community engagement.

### 1. Increasing the Number of Community Counselors

One of the most pressing issues at the Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasarakatan is the high counselor-to-client ratio, which significantly limits the effectiveness of the guidance provided. To address this, increasing the number of Community Counselors should be a priority. By hiring additional qualified counselors, the workload of existing staff can be reduced, allowing for more focused and individualized attention for each client.

Recruiting more counselors will also ensure that the supervision and guidance process is more personalized. With a smaller caseload, each counselor can conduct regular home visits, provide more in-depth counseling, and create tailored reintegration plans for their clients. This will improve case management, as counselors can more effectively monitor their clients' progress and address any issues that arise during the reintegration process.

### 2. Enhancing Training and Capacity Building for Community Counselors

To improve the quality of community guidance, it is essential to focus on the professional development of Community Counselors. Counselors should receive regular training to enhance their skills in areas such as psychosocial support, case management, and conflict resolution. Additionally, counselors should be trained in using digital tools for case management and client monitoring, as well as in risk assessment techniques to identify clients who may be at a higher risk of reoffending.

Training programs should also cover cultural sensitivity and community relations, enabling counselors to better understand and address the specific needs of clients from diverse backgrounds. Ongoing capacity building will allow counselors to stay updated on new research and methodologies in rehabilitation and reintegration, making them more effective in their roles.

### 3. Improving Infrastructure and Resources

The lack of adequate resources is another key factor that hampers the effectiveness of community guidance at Prabumulih Pos Bapas. To address this, it is crucial to improve infrastructure and allocate additional resources for the day-to-day operations of community guidance. Investments should be made in modern technology to facilitate case management, such as the adoption of digital platforms for tracking client progress, scheduling visits, and communicating with other stakeholders.

Additionally, increasing transportation resources for counselors will enable them to conduct regular home visits and ensure better monitoring of clients. Reliable transportation will also facilitate community outreach and enable counselors to engage with local authorities and other stakeholders in the reintegration process. Providing counselors with adequate office space and administrative support will further enhance their efficiency and productivity, allowing them to dedicate more time to client-focused activities rather than administrative tasks.

#### 4. Fostering Stronger Inter-Agency Collaboration

Effective reintegration is a collaborative effort, and improving inter-agency coordination is essential to ensuring the success of conditional release programs. One key recommendation is to establish formal partnerships between Pos Balai Pemasarakatan, local law enforcement agencies, social services, rehabilitation centers, and community organizations. These partnerships will enable information sharing and create a comprehensive support network for individuals undergoing conditional release. Regular meetings and coordination between stakeholders can facilitate the smooth transition of clients from prison to society, ensuring that they have access to necessary services such as mental health support, substance abuse counseling, job training, and social integration programs. A multi-disciplinary approach can also help identify and address challenges early, preventing setbacks that could hinder the reintegration process.

Furthermore, public sector and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) should be involved in creating community-based programs that provide employment opportunities, vocational training, and social services for ex-prisoners. Strengthening partnerships with these organizations will enhance the community-based support that is critical for successful reintegration.

#### 5. Community Engagement and Public Education

One of the most significant challenges in the reintegration process is the stigma faced by former prisoners. To overcome this challenge, community engagement is essential. Public education campaigns should be launched to increase awareness about the importance of social reintegration and reduce the stigma associated with having a criminal past. These campaigns can focus on highlighting the benefits of reintegration for society, such as the reduction in recidivism rates and the positive contributions ex-prisoners can make to their communities.

Community leaders, including religious figures, local authorities, and influencers, should be actively involved in these campaigns. Their endorsement can help change public attitudes and encourage a more inclusive and supportive environment for ex-prisoners. Additionally, community outreach programs that involve local citizens in mentoring and providing support to ex-prisoners can help bridge the gap between offenders and the community. Counselors can also organize workshops and community meetings to discuss the importance of reintegration and to address concerns or misconceptions about ex-prisoners. These initiatives will help foster a more accepting environment where former inmates can reintegrate successfully.

#### 6. Utilizing Restorative Justice Practices

Restorative justice practices, which focus on repairing the harm caused by crime through dialogue and mutual understanding, should be integrated into the community guidance model. Community Counselors can facilitate restorative justice circles where clients meet with victims (or representatives of the community) to acknowledge the impact of their actions and take responsibility. This process helps build a sense of accountability and empowerment for both the offender and the community, contributing to the healing process for all involved.

Restorative justice can also reduce stigma, as it emphasizes healing and community reintegration rather than punishment. By incorporating restorative justice into the community guidance model, counselors can provide more holistic support that not only focuses on the offender's behavior but also addresses the needs of the community and the victim.

## 7. Strengthening Support for Families of Released Individuals

The family unit plays a vital role in the successful reintegration of former prisoners. Support programs should be implemented to strengthen the role of families in the reintegration process. Community Counselors should offer family counseling sessions, parenting workshops, and other resources to help family members understand the challenges their loved ones are facing and provide them with the tools to support successful reintegration. In some cases, family dynamics may need to be addressed before reintegration can be successful. For example, individuals who were released into dysfunctional or abusive family environments may require specialized intervention to address those dynamics and create a more stable support system for reintegration.

Improving the effectiveness of Community Guidance in the context of conditional release requires a multi-faceted approach that addresses both internal and external challenges. By increasing the number of counselors, improving training and resources, fostering inter-agency collaboration, and engaging the community in the reintegration process, the success of these programs can be significantly enhanced. Social reintegration is a shared responsibility, and with the right support systems in place, ex-prisoners can successfully reintegrate into society, reducing recidivism rates and contributing to a safer and more inclusive community.

## CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

The effectiveness of Community Counselors in facilitating conditional release and ensuring successful social reintegration is central to the success of Indonesia's correctional system. This study aimed to assess the role of Community Counselors in the implementation of conditional release at the Prabumulih Community Guidance Center (Pos Balai Pemasarakatan Kelas IIB). The findings revealed several challenges that hinder the optimal performance of Community Counselors, including insufficient resources, limited human capital, poor infrastructure, and lack of inter-agency coordination.

The high counselor-to-client ratio at Prabumulih, coupled with limited infrastructure and resources, significantly restricts the ability of counselors to provide individualized attention to clients. This imbalance results in less frequent interactions and a generalized approach to counseling, which negatively impacts the quality of guidance and monitoring. Additionally, the social stigma surrounding former prisoners and their reintegration into society creates barriers that complicate the reintegration process. However, the study also highlighted the critical role of Community Counselors in facilitating reintegration, as they provide essential psychosocial support, guidance, and supervision to individuals on conditional release. Despite the challenges, counselors continue to work towards reducing recidivism and ensuring that former prisoners can adapt successfully to life outside of prison.

In comparison to the ideal conditions, where there are sufficient counselors, adequate resources, and strong inter-agency collaboration, the current conditions at Prabumulih Pos Bapas reflect significant gaps. These discrepancies highlight the urgent need for systemic improvements to enhance the effectiveness of community guidance and reintegration efforts.

To improve the effectiveness of Community Counselors at Prabumulih Pos Balai Pemasarakatan, several key actions are recommended: increase the number of counselors to reduce caseloads, provide regular training in case management and psychosocial support, and enhance infrastructure by allocating more resources for modern technology and transportation. Strengthening inter-agency collaboration will

create a supportive network for clients, while promoting community engagement through public education campaigns can reduce stigma. Lastly, incorporating restorative justice programs will foster accountability and social acceptance, ultimately aiding successful reintegration and reducing recidivism.

## REFERENCES

- Amiruddin dan Zainal A., 2016, Pengantar Metode Penelitian Hukum, RajaGrafindo Persada, Jakarta.
- Andi H., 2014, Asas-Asas Hukum Pidana, Rineka Cipta, Jakarta.
- Bambang P., 1986, Pelaksanaan Pidana Penjara dengan Sistem Pemasyarakatan, Liberty, Yogyakarta.
- Barda, N. A., 2011, Bunga Rampai Kebijakan Hukum Pidana, Kencana, Jakarta.
- Barda, N. A., 2011, Kebijakan Hukum Pidana, Kencana, Jakarta.
- Barda, N. A., 2018, Kebijakan Hukum Pidana, Prenadamedia Group, Jakarta.
- Bruce J. B., 1979, Role Theory: Expectations, Identities, and Behaviors, Academic Press.
- Bruce J. B., 1986, Role Theory: Expectations, Identities, and Behaviors, Academic Press, New York.
- Direktorat Jenderal Pemasyarakatan, 2021, Best Practice Pembimbingan Klien Pemasyarakatan, Kemenkumham RI, Jakarta.
- Direktorat Jenderal Pemasyarakatan, 2022, Pedoman Pelaksanaan Pembebasan Bersyarat, Kementerian Hukum dan HAM RI, Jakarta.
- Direktorat Jenderal Pemasyarakatan, 2023, Laporan Kinerja Pemasyarakatan, Kementerian Hukum dan HAM RI, Jakarta.
- Dwidja, P., 2013, Sistem Pelaksanaan Pidana Penjara di Indonesia, Refika Aditama, Bandung.
- Edi, S., 2015, Pekerjaan Sosial di Dunia Industri, Alfabeta, Bandung.
- Harsono HS, C.I. 1995, Sistem Baru Pembinaan Narapidana, Djambatan, Jakarta.
- Hoefnagels, G.P. 1973, The Other Side of Criminology, Kluwer, Deventer.
- Lawrence M. F., 1975, The Legal System: A Social Science Perspective, Russell Sage Foundation, New York.
- Lawrence M. F., 1977, Law and Society: An Introduction, Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Marc, A., 1965, Social Defence, Routledge & Kegan Paul, London.
- Muladi, 1995, Kapita Selekta Sistem Peradilan Pidana, Badan Penerbit UNDIP, Semarang.
- Muladi, 2002, Kapita Selekta Sistem Peradilan Pidana, Badan Penerbit UNDIP, Semarang.
- Muladi dan Barda, N. A., 2010, Teori-Teori dan Kebijakan Pidana, Alumni, Bandung.
- Peter, M. M., 2017, Penelitian Hukum, Kencana, Jakarta.
- R. Achmad S. S. D., 1982, Sistem Pemasyarakatan di Indonesia, Armico, Bandung.
- Ralph L., 1936, The Study of Man, Appleton-Century, New York.
- Romli, A., 2010, Sistem Peradilan Pidana Kontemporer, Kencana, Jakarta.
- Romli, A., 2017, Rekonstruksi Asas Tiada Pidana Tanpa Kesalahan, Gramedia, Jakarta.
- Sahardjo, 1963, Pohon Beringin Pengayoman: Pemasyarakatan Indonesia, Jakarta.
- Satjipto, R., 2009, Hukum dan Perubahan Sosial, Genta Publishing, Yogyakarta.
- Satjipto, R., 2012, Ilmu Hukum, Citra Aditya Bakti, Bandung.
- Soerjono, S., 2008, Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Penegakan Hukum, Rajawali Pers, Jakarta.
- Soerjono, S., 2010, Pengantar Penelitian Hukum, UI Press, Jakarta.
- Soerjono, S. dan Sri, M., 2015, Penelitian Hukum Normatif dan Empiris, Rajawali Pers, Jakarta.
- Sholehuddin, M. 2003, Sistem Sanksi dalam Hukum Pidana, RajaGrafindo Persada, Jakarta.

- Sudarto, 1986, Hukum dan Hukum Pidana, Alumni, Bandung.
- Travis, H., 1969, Causes of Delinquency, University of California Press.
- Negara Republik Indonesia, Undang-Undang Nomor 12 Tahun 1995 tentang Pemasyarakatan.
- Negara Republik Indonesia, Undang-Undang Nomor 22 Tahun 2022 tentang Pemasyarakatan.
- Negara Republik Indonesia, Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 32 Tahun 1999 tentang Syarat dan Tata Cara Pelaksanaan Hak Warga Binaan Pemasyarakatan.
- Negara Republik Indonesia, Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 99 Tahun 2012 tentang Perubahan Kedua atas PP Nomor 32 Tahun 1999.
- Negara Republik Indonesia, Peraturan Menteri Hukum dan Hak Asasi Manusia Republik Indonesia Nomor 7 Tahun 2022 tentang Organisasi dan Tata Kerja Balai Pemasyarakatan.